# Parallel Computing

**A Key to Performance** 

Dheeraj Bhardwaj
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi –110 016 India
http://www.cse.iitd.ac.in/~dheerajb

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

1

### Introduction

- Traditional Science
  - Observation
  - Theory
  - Experiment -- Most expensive
- Experiment can be replaced with Computers Simulation Third Pillar of Science

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### Introduction

- If your Applications need more computing power than a sequential computer can provide!!!
  - \* Desire and prospect for greater performance
    - You might suggest to improve the operating speed of processors and other components.
- We do not disagree with your suggestion BUT how long you can go? Can you go beyond the speed of light, thermodynamic laws and high financial costs?

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

3

#### Performance

### Three ways to improve the performance

- Work harder Using faster hardware
- Work smarter - doing things more efficiently (algorithms and computational techniques)
- Get help Using multiple computers to solve a particular task.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### **Parallel Computer**

#### **Definition**:

A parallel computer is a "Collection of processing elements that communicate and co-operate to solve large problems fast".


#### **Driving Forces and Enabling Factors**

- Desire and prospect for greater performance
- Users have even bigger problems and designers have even more gates

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Need of more Computing Power: Grand Challenge Applications



Geographic Information **Systems** 

Life Sciences

Aerospace



Mechanical Design & Analysis (CAD/CAM)

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Need of more Computing Power: Grand Challenge Applications





• Seismic Data Processing



- Remote Sensing, Image Processing & Geomatics
- Computational Fluid Dynamics



• Astrophysical Calculations



Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

7


## **Grand Challenge Applications**

### Scientific & Engineering Applications

• Computational Chemistry



- Molecular Modelling
- Molecular Dynamics



Bio-Molecular Structure Modelling



• Structural Mechanics

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### **Grand Challenge Applications**

**Business/Industry Applications** 

- Data Warehousing for Financial Sectors
- Electronic Governance




Medical Imaging

**Internet Applications** 

- Web Servers
- Digital libraries

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002



### **Application Trends**

#### Need of numerical and non-numerical algorithms

- ❖ Numerical Algorithms
  - Dense Matrix Algorithms
  - Solving linear system of equations
  - Solving Sparse system of equations
  - Fast Fourier Transformations
- Non-Numerical Algorithms
  - Graph Algorithms
  - Sorting algorithms
  - Search algorithms for discrete Optimization
  - Dynamic Programming

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

11

## Applications – Commercial computing

#### **Commercial Computing**

- The database is much too large to fit into the computer's memory
- \* Opportunities for fairly high degrees of parallelism exist at several stages of the operation of a data base management system.
- Millions of databases have been used in business management, government administration, Scientific and Engineering data management, and many other applications.
- This explosive growth in data and databases has generated an urgent need for new techniques and tools.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Applications – Commercial computing

### Sources of Parallelism in Query Processing

- Parallelism within Transactions (on line transaction processing)
- **A** Parallelism within a single complex transactions.
- \* Transactions of a commercial database require processing large complex queries.

#### **Parallelizing Relational Databases Operations**

- **❖** Parallelism comes from breaking a relational operations (Ex: JOIN)
- **❖** Parallelism comes from the way these operations are implemented.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

13

## Applications – Commercial computing

#### Parallelism in Data Mining Algorithms

- Process of automatically finding pattern and relations in large databases
- ❖ Data sets involved are large and rapidly growing larger
- **Complexity of algorithms for clustering of large data set**
- Algorithms are based on decision trees. Parallelism is there on the growth phase due to its data intensive nature

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

1.4


### **Requirements for Commercial Applications**

### Requirements for applications

- Exploring useful information from such data will efficient parallel algorithms.
- \* Running on high performance computing systems with powerful parallel I/O capabilities is very much essential
- Development parallel algorithms for clustering and classification for large data sets.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002



### Serial and Parallel Computing

SERIAL COMPUTING PARALLEL COMPUTING

Fetch/Store

Compute
Compute/communicate

**Cooperative game** 

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

17

## Serial and Parallel Algorithms - Evaluation

- Serial Algorithm
  - Execution time as a function of size of input
- Parallel Algorithm
  - Execution time as a function of input size, parallel architecture and number of processors used

#### **Parallel System**

A parallel system is the combination of an algorithm and the parallel architecture on which its implemented

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>


August, 2002

### **Issues in Parallel Computing**

- Design of parallel computers
- Design of efficient parallel algorithms
- Parallel programming models
- Parallel computer language
- Methods for evaluating parallel algorithms
- Parallel programming tools
- Portable parallel programs

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002



#### **SIMD Features**

- Implementing a fast, globally accessible shared memory takes a major hardware effort
- SIMD algorithms for certain class of applications are good choice for performance
- SIMD machines are inherently synchronous
- There is one common memory for the whole machine
- Cost of message passing is very less

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002


21


### **MIMD Features**


- MIMD architecture is more general purpose
- MIMD needs clever use of synchronization that comes from message passing to prevent the race condition
- Designing efficient message passing algorithm is hard because the data must be distributed in a way that minimizes communication traffic
- Cost of message passing is very high

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002







## Symmetric Multiprocessors (SMPs)

- Uses commodity microprocessors with on-chip and offchip caches.
- Processors are connected to a shared memory through a high-speed snoopy bus
- ❖ On Some SMPs, a crossbar switch is used in addition to the bus.
- Scalable up to:
  - 4-8 processors (non-back planed based)
  - few tens of processors (back plane based)

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>


August, 2002

## Symmetric Multiprocessors (SMPs)

- ❖ All processors see same image of all system resources
- Equal priority for all processors (except for master or boot CPU)
- ❖ Memory coherency maintained by HW
- **❖** Multiple I/O Buses for greater Input Output

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002



### Symmetric Multiprocessors (SMPs)

#### **Issues**

- **\*** Bus based architecture :
  - Inadequate beyond 8-16 processors
- Crossbar based architecture
  - multistage approach considering I/Os required in hardware
- Clock distribution and HF design issues for backplanes
- ❖ Limitation is mainly caused by using a centralized shared memory and a bus or cross bar interconnect which are both difficult to scale once built.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>


August, 2002


### Symmetric Multiprocessors (SMPs)

- Heavily used in commercial applications (data bases, on-line transaction systems)
- System is symmetric (every processor has equal equal access to the shared memory, the I/O devices, and the operating systems.
- **B**eing symmetric, a higher degree of parallelism can be achieved.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002





#### **Clusters Features**

- Collection of nodes physically connected over commodity/ proprietary network
- Network is a decisive factors for scalability issues (especially for fine grain applications)
- Each node is usable as a separate entity
- Built in reliability and redundancy
- Cost/performance

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

33

#### **Clusters Features**

#### Different about clusters?

- **Commodity parts**
- **❖** Incremental Scalability
- **❖** Independent Failure
- **\*** Complete Operating System on every node
- **Good Price Performance Ratio**

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### **Cluster Challenges**

- Single System Image
- Programming Environments (MPI/PVM)
- Compilers
- Process/thread migration, global PID
- Global File System
- Scalable I/O Services
- Network Services

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

35

### Parallel I/O

- Parallel File System
- Parallel read / write
- Parallel I/O architecture for storage subsystem

Conclusion: A way to achieve high I/O throughput

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### PARAM 10000 - A 100 GF Parallel Supercomputer Developed by - Centre for Development of Advanced Computing, India 40 Sun Enterprise Ultra450 Nodes No. of CPUs per node 4 @300MHz **Parallel** Computing **Networks Environments** • Fast Ethernet • PVM • PARAMNet • MPI • Myrinet 4 @ 4GB RAM •OpenMP File Servers 36 @ 2GB RAM **Compute Nodes** OS Solaris 2.7 August, 2002 Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

## Issues in Parallel Computing on Clusters

- Productivity
- Reliability
- Availability
- Usability
- Scalability
- Available Utilization
- Performance/cost ratio

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

## Requirements for Applications

- **❖** Parallel I/O
- Optimized libraries
- ❖ Low latency and High bandwidth networks
- ❖ Scalability of a parallel system

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

39

## Important Issues in Parallel Programming

- ❖ Partitioning of data
- **A** Mapping of data onto the processors
- **A** Reproducibility of results
- Synchronization
- **Scalability and Predictability of performance**

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Success depends on the combination of

- ❖ Architecture, Compiler, Choice of Right Algorithm, Programming Language
- Design of software, Principles of Design of algorithm, Portability, Maintainability, Performance analysis measures, and Efficient implementation

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

41

## Designing Parallel Algorithms

- Detect and exploit any inherent parallelism in an existing sequential Algorithm
- Invent a new parallel algorithm
- Adopt another parallel algorithm that solves a similar problem

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Principles of Parallel Algorithms and Design

#### Questions to be answered

- **❖** How to partition the data?
- Which data is going to be partitioned?
- **\( \text{How many types of concurrency?} \)**
- ❖ What are the key principles of designing parallel algorithms?
- **❖** What are the overheads in the algorithm design?
- **❖** How the mapping for balancing the load is done effectively?

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

43

### Principles of Parallel Algorithms and Design

#### Two keysteps

- Discuss methods for mapping the tasks to processors so that the processors are efficiently utilized.
- Different decompositions and mapping may yield good performance on different computers for a given problem.

It is therefore crucial for programmers to understand the relationship between the underlying machine model and the parallel program to develop efficient programs.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

## Parallel Algorithms - Characteristics

- A parallel algorithm is a recipe that tells us how to solve a given problem using multiprocessors
- Methods for handling and reducing interactions among tasks so that the processors are all doing useful work most of the time is important for performance
- Parallel algorithms has the added dimensions of concurrency which is of paramount importance in parallel programming.
- The maximum number of tasks that can be executed at any time in a parallel algorithm is called <u>degree of concurrency</u>

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

45

## Types of Parallelism

- Data parallelism
- Task parallelism
- Combination of Data and Task parallelism
- Stream parallelism

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Types of Parallelism - Data Parallelism

- Identical operations being applied concurrently on different data items is called data parallelism.
- It applies the SAME OPERATION in parallel on different elements of a data set.
- It uses a simpler model and reduce the programmer's work.

#### **Example**

- Problem of adding  $n \times n$  matrices.
- Structured grid computations in CFD.
- Genetic algorithms.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

47

### Types of Parallelism - Data Parallelism

- For most of the application problems, the degree of data parallelism with the size of the problem.
- More number of processors can be used to solve large size problems.
- f90 and HPF data parallel language

#### Responsibility of programmer

• Specifying the distribution of data structures

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Types of Parallelism - Task Parallelism

- Many tasks are executed concurrently is called task parallelism.
- This can be done (visualized) by a task graph. In this graph, the node represent a task to be executed. Edges represent the dependencies between the tasks.
- Sometimes, a task in the task graph can be executed as long as all preceding tasks have been completed.
- Let the programmer define different types of processes. These processes communicate and synchronize with each other through MPI or other mechanisms.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

49

## Types of Parallelism - Task Parallelism

#### **Programmer's responsibility**

• Programmer must deal explicitly with process creation, communication and synchronization.

#### Task parallelism

#### Example

Vehicle relational database to process the following query

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Types of Parallelism - Data and Task Parallelism

#### Integration of Task and Data Parallelism

- Two Approaches
  - Add task parallel constructs to data parallel constructs.
  - Add data parallel constructs to task parallel construct
- Approach to Integration
  - Language based approaches.
  - Library based approaches.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

51

### Types of Parallelism - Data and Task Parallelism

#### **Example**

- Multi disciplinary optimization application for aircraft design.
- Need for supporting task parallel constructs and communication between data parallel modules
- Optimizer initiates and monitors the application's execution until the result satisfy some objective function (such as minimal aircraft weight)

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Types of Parallelism - Data and Task Parallelism

#### **Advantages**

- Generality
- Ability to increase scalability by exploiting both forms of parallelism in a application.
- Ability to co-ordinate multidisciplinary applications.

#### **Problems**

- Differences in parallel program structure
- Address space organization
- Language implementation

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

53

## Types of Parallelism - Stream Parallelism

- Stream parallelism refers to the simultaneous execution of different programs on a data stream. It is also referred to as *pipelining*.
- The computation is parallelized by executing a different program at each processor and sending intermediate results to the next processor.
- The result is a pipeline of data flow between processors.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Types of Parallelism - Stream Parallelism

- Many problems exhibit a combination of data, task and stream parallelism.
- The amount of stream parallelism available in a problem is usually independent of the size of the problem.
- The amount of data and task parallelism in a problem usually increases with the size of the problem.
- Combinations of task and data parallelism often allow us to utilize the coarse granularity inherent in task parallelism with the fine granularity in data parallelism to effectively utilize a large number of processors.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

55

### **Decomposition Techniques**

The process of splitting the computations in a problem into a set of concurrent tasks is referred to as decomposition.

- Decomposing a problem effectively is of paramount importance in parallel computing.
- Without a good decomposition, we may not be able to achieve a high degree of concurrency.
- Decomposing a problem must ensure good load balance.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### **Decomposition Techniques**

#### What is meant by good decomposition?

- It should lead to high degree of concurrency
- The interaction among tasks should be title as possible. These objectives often conflict with each other.
- Parallel algorithm design has helped in the formulation of certain heuristics for decomposition.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

57

### Parallel Programming Paradigm

- **❖** Phase parallel
- **❖** Divide and conquer
- **❖** Pipeline
- \* Process farm
- **❖** Work pool


#### Remark:

The parallel program consists of number of super steps, and each super step has two phases: computation phase and interaction phase

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### Phase Parallel Model




- The phase-parallel model offers a paradigm that is widely used in parallel programming.
- The parallel program consists of a number of supersteps, and each has two phases.
- In a computation phase, multiple processes each perform an independent computation C.
- In the subsequent interaction phase, the processes perform one or more synchronous interaction operations, such as a barrier or a blocking communication.
- Then next superstep is executed.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

50

### Divide and Conquer




- A parent process divides its workload into several smaller pieces and assigns them to a number of child processes.
- The child processes then compute their workload in parallel and the results are merged by the parent.
- The dividing and the merging procedures are done recursively.
- This paradigm is very natural for computations such as quick sort. Its disadvantage is the difficulty in achieving good load balance.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Pipeline

#### Data stream



- In pipeline paradigm, a number of processes form a virtual pipeline.
- A continuous data stream is fed into the pipeline, and the processes execute at different pipeline stages simultaneously in an overlapped fashion.


Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

61

#### **Process Farm**

Data stream




- This paradigm is also known as the master-slave paradigm.
- A master process executes the essentially sequential part of the parallel program and spawns a number of slave processes to execute the parallel workload.
- When a slave finishes its workload, it informs the master which assigns a new workload to the slave.
- This is a very simple paradigm, where the coordination is done by the master.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### Work Pool

#### Work pool



- This paradigm is often used in a shared variable model.
- A pool of works is realized in a global data structure.
- A number of processes are created.
   Initially, there may be just one piece of work in the pool.
- Any free process fetches a piece of work from the pool and executes it, producing zero, one, or more new work pieces put into the pool.
- The parallel program ends when the work pool becomes empty.
- This paradigm facilitates load balancing, as the workload is dynamically allocated to free processes.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

63

## **Parallel Programming Models**

#### **Implicit parallelism**

• If the programmer does not explicitly specify parallelism, but let the compiler and the run-time support system automatically exploit it.

#### **Explicit Parallelism**

It means that parallelism is explicitly specified in the source code by the programming using special language constructs, complex directives, or library cells.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### **Implicit Parallelism: Parallelizing Compilers**

- Automatic parallelization of sequential programs
  - Dependency Analysis
  - Data dependency
  - Control dependency

#### Remark

 Users belief is influenced by the currently disappointing performance of automatic tools (Implicit parallelism) and partly by a theoretical results obtained

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

65

## Implicit Parallel Programming Models

#### **Effectiveness of Parallelizing Compilers**

#### **Question:**

- Are parallelizing compilers effective in generalizing efficient code from sequential programs?
  - Some performance studies indicate that may not be a effective
  - User direction and Run-Time Parallelization techniques are needed

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### **Implicit Parallelism**

#### **&** Bernstein's Theorem

- It is difficult to decide whether two operations in an imperative sequential program can be executed in parallel
- An implication of this theorem is that there is no automatic technique, compiler time or runtime that can exploit all parallelism in a sequential program

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

67

### Implicit Parallel Programming Models

- ❖ To overcome this theoretical limitation, two solutions have been suggested
  - The first solution is to abolish the imperative style altogether, and to use a programming language which makes parallelism recognition easier
  - The second solution is to use explicit parallelism

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Three dominant parallel programming models are:

- **❖** Data-parallel model
- **Message-passing model**
- **Shared-variable model**

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

69

## **Explicit Parallel Programming Models**

Main Features	Data-Parallel	Message- Passing	Shared-Variable
Control flow (threading)	Single	Multiple	Multiple
Synchrony	Loosely synchronous	Asynchronous	Asynchronous
Address space	Single	Multiple	Multiple
Interaction	[mplicit]	Explicit	Explicit
Data allocation	Implicit or semiexplicit	Explicit	Implicit or semiexplicit

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### The data parallel model

- Applies to either SIMD or SPMD models
- The idea is to execute the same instruction or program segment over different data sets simultaneously on multiple computing nodes
- It has a single thread of control and massive parallelism is exploited at data set level.
- Example: f90/HPF languages

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

71

## **Explicit Parallel Programming Models**

#### Data parallelism

- Assumes a single address space, and data allocation is not required
- To achieve high performance, data parallel languages such as HPF use explicit data allocation directives
- A data parallel program is single threaded and loosely synchronous
- No need for explicit synchronization free from all deadlocks and livelocks
- Performance may not be good for unstructured irregular computations

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### Message - Passing

- **Message passing has the following characteristics:** 
  - Multithreading
  - Asynchronous parallelism (MPI reduce)
  - Separate address spaces (Interaction by MPI/PVM)
  - Explicit interaction
  - Explicit allocation by user

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

73

### **Explicit Parallel Programming Models**

### Message - Passing

- Programs are multithreading and asynchronous requiring explicit synchronization
- More flexible than the data parallel model, but it still lacks support for the work pool paradigm.
- PVM and MPI can be used
- Message passing programs exploit large-grain parallelism

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### **Shared Variable Model**

- It has a single address space (Similar to data parallel)
- It is multithreading and asynchronous (Similar to message-passing model)
- Data resides in single shared address space, thus does not have to be explicitly allocated
- Workload can be either explicitly or implicitly allocated
- Communication is done implicitly through shared reads and writes of variables. However synchronization is explicit

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

75

### **Explicit Parallel Programming Models**

#### **Shared variable model**

- The shared-variable model assumes the existence of a single, shared address space where all shared data reside
- Programs are multithreading and asynchronous, requiring explicit synchronizations
- Efficient parallel programs that are loosely synchronous and have regular communication patterns, the shared variable approach is not easier than the message passing model

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Other Parallel Programming Models

- Functional programming
- Logic programming
- Computing by learning
- Object oriented programming

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

77

## **Basic Communication Operations**


- One-to-All Broadcast
- One-to-All Personalized Communication
- All-to-All Broadcast
- All-to-All personalized Communication
- Circular Shift
- Reduction
- Prefix Sum

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002



#### One-to-all broadcast on an eight-processor tree



Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

79

### Performance & Scalability

### How do we measure the performance of a computer system?

• Many people believe that execution time is the only reliable metric to measure computer performance

#### **Approach**

• Run the user's application elapsed time and measure wall clock time

#### **Remarks**

- This approach is some times difficult to apply and it could permit misleading interpretations.
- Pitfalls of using execution time as performance metric.
  - Execution time alone does not give the user much clue to a true performance of the parallel machine

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### **Performance Requirements**

### Types of performance requirement

Six types of performance requirements are posed by users:

- Executive time and throughput
- Processing speed
- System throughput
- Utilization
- Cost effectiveness
- Performance / Cost ratio

<u>Remarks</u>: These requirements could lead to quite different conclusions for the same application on the same computer platform

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

81

### **Performance Requirements**

#### **Remarks**

- Higher Utilization corresponds to higher Gflop/s per dollar, provided if CPU-hours are changed at a fixed rate.
- A low utilization always indicates a poor program or compiler.
- Good program could have a long execution time due to a large workload, or a low speed due to a slow machine.
- Utilization factor varies from 5% to 38%. Generally the utilization drops as more nodes are used.
- Utilization values generated from the vendor's benchmark programs are often highly optimized.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

Speedup: Speedup  $T_p$  is defined as the ratio of the serial runtime of the <u>best</u> sequential algorithm for solving a problem to the time taken by the parallel algorithm to solve the same problem on p processor

The p processors used by the parallel algorithm are assumed to be <u>identical</u> to the one used by the sequential algorithm

Cost: Cost of solving a problem on a parallel system is the product of parallel runtime and the number of processors used

$$E = p.S_p$$

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

8.

### Performance Metrics of Parallel Systems

Efficiency: Ratio of speedup to the number of processors.

Efficiency can also be expressed as the ratio of the execution time of the fastest known sequential algorithm for solving a problem to the cost of solving the same problem on p processors

The <u>cost</u> of solving a problem on a single processor is the execution time of the known best sequential algorithm

<u>Cost Optimal</u>: A parallel system is said to be cost-optimal if the cost of solving a problem on parallel computer is proportional to the execution time of the fastest known sequential algorithm on a single processor.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### **Speedup metrics**

Three performance models based on three speedup metrics are commonly used.

- Amdahl's law -- Fixed problem size
- Gustafson's law -- Fixed time speedup
- Sun-Ni's law -- Memory Bounding speedup

Three approaches to scalability analysis are based on

- Maintaining a constant efficiency,
- A constant speed, and
- A constant utilization

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

8

### Performance Metrics of Parallel Systems

Amdahl's law: Fixed Problem Size

Consider a problem with a fixed workload W. Assume that the workload can be divided into two parts

$$W = \alpha W + (1 - \alpha) W$$

where  $\alpha$  percent of W executed sequentially, and the remaining 1- $\alpha$  percent can be executed by p nodes simultaneously.

Assume all overheads are ignored, a fixed load speedup is defined by

$$S_p = \frac{W}{\alpha W + (1 - \alpha) W/p} = \frac{p}{1 + (p - 1)\alpha} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} \text{ as } p \longrightarrow \infty$$

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### Amdahl's law implications

- 1. For a given workload, the maximal speedup has an upper bound of  $1/\alpha$ .
- 2. In other words, the sequential component of the program is bottleneck.
- 3. When  $\alpha$  increases the speedup decreases proportionally.
- 4. To achieve good speedup, it is important to make the sequential bottleneck  $\alpha$  as small as possible.

For fixed load speedup  $S_p$  (with all overheads  $T_0$ ) becomes

$$S_p = \frac{W}{\alpha W + (1-\alpha) W/p +} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0 + T_0 / W} \quad \text{as } p \longrightarrow \infty$$

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

87

### Performance Metrics of Parallel Systems

### Gustafson's Law: Scaling for Higher Accuracy

- The problem size (workload) is <u>fixed</u> and cannot scale to match the available computing power as the machine size increases. Thus, Amdahl's law leads to a diminishing return when a larger system is employed to solve a small problem.
- The sequential bottleneck in Amdahl's law can be alleviated by removing the restriction of a <u>fixed</u> problem size.
- Gustafson's proposed a <u>fixed</u> time concept that achieves an improved speedup by scaling problem size with the increase in machine size.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

Gustafson's Law: Scaling for Higher Accuracy

The fixed-time speedup with scaled workload is defined as

$$S_n \stackrel{\text{WF}}{=} \frac{\text{Sequential time for scaled-up workload}}{\text{Sequential time for scaled-up workload}} = \frac{\alpha W + (1 - \alpha)p}{(1 - \alpha)^{n}}$$

Parallel time for scaled-up workload

W

$$S_p * = \alpha + (1 - \alpha) p$$

- It states that the fixed time speedup is a linear function of p, if the workload is scaled up to maintain a fixed execution time.
- Achieves an improved speedup by scaling the problem size with the increase in machine size.

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

89

### Performance Metrics of Parallel Systems

Sun and Ni's law: Memory Bound Speed up

#### **Motivation**

- The idea is to solve the largest possible problem, limited only by the available memory capacity.
- This also demands a scaled workload, providing higher speedup, greater accuracy, and better resource utilization
- Use concept of Amdahl's law and Gustafson's law to maximize the use of both CPU and memory capacities

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

### Sun and Ni's law: Memory Bound Speed up $(S_{b}^{*})$

- Let M be the memory capacity of a single node. On an p-node parallel system, the total memory is pM. Given a memory-bounded problem, assume it uses all the memory capacity M on one node and execute in W seconds. Now the workload on one node is W is given by αW + (1- α)W
- When p nodes are used, assume that the parallel portion of the workload can be scaled up F(p) times.
- Scaled work load is W is given by αW + (1- α) F(p) W. (Here the factor G(p) reflects the increase in workload as the memory capacity increases p times).

$$S_p * = \frac{\alpha W + (1 - \alpha) F(p) W}{\alpha W + (1 - \alpha) F(p) W/p} = \frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha) F(p)}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha) F(p)/p}$$

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

0

#### **Conclusions**

#### Clusters are promising

- Solve parallel processing paradox
- Offer incremental growth and matches with funding pattern
- New trends in hardware and software technologies are likely to make clusters more promising.

#### Success depends on the combination of

- Architecture, Compiler, Choice of Right Algorithm, Programming Language
- Design of software, Principles of Design of algorithm, Portability, Maintainability, Performance analysis measures, and Efficient implementation

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

#### References

- Albert Y.H. Zomaya, Parallel and distributed Computing Handbook, McGraw-Hill Series on Computing Engineering, New York (1996).
- Ernst L. Leiss, Parallel and Vector Computing A practical Introduction, McGraw-Hill Series on Computer Engineering, New York (1995).
- Ian T. Foster, Designing and Building Parallel Programs, Concepts and tools for Parallel Software Engineering, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company (1995).
- Kai Hwang, Zhiwei Xu, Scalable Parallel Computing (Technology Architecture Programming) McGraw Hill New York (1997)
- Vipin Kumar, Ananth Grama, Anshul Gupta, George Karypis, Introduction to Parallel Computing, Design and Analysis of Algorithms, Redwood City, CA, Benjmann/Cummings (1994).

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002

03

#### **Final Words**

#### **Acknowledgements**

- Centre for Development of Advanced Computing (C-DAC)
- Computer Service Center, IIT Delhi
- Department of Computer Science & Engineering, IIT Delhi

#### More Information can be found at

http://www.cse.iitd.ac.in/~dheerajb/links.htm

Dheeraj Bhardwaj <dheerajb@cse.iitd.ac.in>

August, 2002